

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,779	08/07/2001	Jabari Zakiya	JZ-3	8866
75	90 08/06/2004		EXAMINER	
Jabari Zakiya			PHAN, THAI Q	
4506 South Dakota Ave. NE Washington, DC 20017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, D	C 20017	•	2128	

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
t		09/922,779	ZAKIYA, JABARI			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thai Q. Phan	2128			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 August 2001</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2001</u> in Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC  er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/922,779

Art Unit: 2128

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to patent application S/N: 09/922,779. Claims 1-5 are pending in the Action now.

#### **Drawings**

The informal drawings are acceptable for consideration.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Childs et al, US patent no. 5,623,545.

As per claim 1, Childs discloses a method for designing a hashing circuit with feature limitations very similar to the claimed invention. According to Childs, the design method includes steps:

Implementing a hash algorithm which can input the hash of an input message block using only combinatorial circuit and logic elements which perform the plurality of intermediate stage computation and logical operation of a hash algorithm (function) without the use of a clock (col. 7, lines 6-58, col. 8, lines 4-15, Figs. 7-9, cols. 9-11). Childs does not expressly disclose non-sequential structures as claimed.

Practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made would have found Childs combinatorial logic of the circuit (701) for hashing function implies the claimed

# Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 09/922,779 Page 3

Art Unit: 2128

limitation of non-sequential circuit above because combinatorial circuit does not require sequential operation, and the combinational circuit is a non-sequential circuit.

As per claim 2, Childs discloses generating the full hash of an N-block long message in no more than N-process cycles.

As per claims 3 and 4, Childs discloses message delay and propagation in terms of hash round (cols. 13 and 14). Childs also discloses hardware implementation for the hashing functions as claimed (Figs. 5-9).

As per claim 5, Childs discloses hashing circuit to implement any hash algorithm.

#### Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - 1. US patent no. 5,163,016, issued to Har'El et al, on Nov. 1992
- 2. US patent no. 5,608,801, issued to Aiello et al, on Mar. 1997
- 3. US patent no. 6,516,404 B1, issued to Arimilli et al, on Feb. 2003
- 4. US patent no. 6,598,118 B1, issued to Arimilli et al, on July 2003.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Q. Phan whose telephone number is 703-305-
- 3812. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jean Homere can be reached on 703-308-6647. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

## **Best Available Copy**

Application/Control Number: 09/922,779

Art Unit: 2128

Page 4

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aug. 01, 2004

Thai Phan

Thaiphan

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2128